

# EDP Presentation Joint Annual Review

January 28, 2014

Shanda Steimer – EDP Forum Chair

# Successes

- Budgetary process progressively improving
  - TA activities more comprehensively reflected in the AWPB
- Maintenance of health facility equipment
  - Scaling up to national level
  - Biomedical engineers on track
- Better communication around procurement and financial management
  - LMD and FM quarterly meetings to discuss issues

# Successes

- Concerted effort among all partners towards family planning and neonatal health
  - More resources
  - Better alignment
- Recognition that tailored programs are needed
  - Complex district geography and needs
  - Disaggregation of rural/urban populations
  - Higher use of facilities

# Areas of Improvement

- Engage better with other Ministries to help improve the health sector
  - MoF, MoFALD, MoE, etc.
  - Use IDGP donor group
- Improvement needed in coordinating and consolidating processes such as monitoring, reporting and research areas, etc
  - Regular meeting of single TA/TC Coordination group (led by MoHP) that was formed to improve coordination

# Areas of Improvement

- Need better harmonization at regional, district and below levels
  - National practices translated down to districts and regions
- TA/TC and Financial Assistance are provided different ways but are complementary
  - Pooled vs project support - both are linked to NHSPs
  - Pooled funds are linked to AWPB and projects often to thematic areas
  - Cannot always meet in-year requests from the Ministry
  - Burden of Disease Study and NCDs would allow better programming of projects earmarked for certain disease areas

# Areas of Improvement

- JFA – Important Tool
  - Needs revision for NHSP-III
  - Pooled funding tied to MOHP expenditure resulted in loss of funding for Nepal
- JTAA – Important Tool
  - Need to revise it with MoHP
  - Make it a less legalistic document
  - Try finalizing for NHSP-III

# Monitoring EDP Programs

- EDPs all have internal assessments and evaluations to measure specific program progress
- Larger studies (DHS), measure progress of all partners in achieving country goals
- JAR and Annual Review Process are important sources of information and dialogue
  - Measuring NHSP-II progress is also measuring donor progress



Thank you!